1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTO A Limited Liability Partnership	ON LLP	
2	Including Professional Corporations ARTHUR J. FRIEDMAN, Cal. Bar No. 160867		
3	ALEXANDER L. MERRITT, Cal. Bar No. 2775 Four Embarcadero Center, 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor	864	
4	San Francisco, California 94111-4109		
5	Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947		
6	E mail: afriedman@sheppardmullin.com amerritt@sheppardmullin.com		
7	MARY WAGNER, Cal. Bar No. 167214	20	
8	CITY ATTORNEY FOR CITY OF SAUSALIT Sausalito City Hall	U	
9	420 Litho Street   Sausalito, CA 94965   E-mail: mwagner@sausalito.gov		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	CITY OF SAUSALITO, JILL JAMES HOFFMAI ROHRBACHER, MARCIA RAINES, KENT BA		
12	,		
13	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COU	JRT .
14	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFO	RNIA
15	SAUSALITO/MARIN COUNTY CHAPTER OF THE CALIFORNIA HOMELESS UNION,	CASE NO. 3:21	-cv-01143-LB
16	on behalf of itself and those it represents;		TAL DECLARATION OF NAN IN SUPPORT OF
17	ROBBIE POWELSON; SHERI I. RILEY; ARTHUR BRUCE; MELANIE MUASOU;	<b>DEFENDANTS</b>	S' MOTION TO MODIFY
	SUNNY JEAN YOW; NAOMI	PRELIMINAR	Y INJUNCTION
18	MONTEMAYOR; MARK JEFF; MIKE	Date:	April 29, 2021
19	NORTH; JACKIE CUTLER and MICHAEL ARNOLD on behalf of themselves and	Time:	1:30 p.m.
20	similarly situated homeless persons,	Courtroom:	5–17 <sup>th</sup> Floor
21	Plaintiffs,	Action Filed:	February 16, 2021
22	V.	Trial Date: Judge:	T.B.D. Hon. Judge Edward M. Chen
23	CITY OF SAUSALITO; MAYOR JILL		C
24	JAMES HOFFMAN; POLICE CHIEF JOHN		
25	ROHRBACHER; CITY MANAGER MARCIA RAINES; DEPT. OF PUBLIC		
26	WORKS SUPERVISOR KENT BASSO, individually and in their respective official		
27	capacities,		
28	Defendants.		

### **DECLARATION OF MONTE DEIGNAN**

I, Monte Deignan, declare as follows:

- 1, mone Belghan, declare us follows:
- 1. I am an environmental consultant and Cal OSHA certified asbestos consultant (Consultant No. CAC 93-0879, 1993). If called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to all facts stated herein based upon my personal knowledge except where stated upon information and belief. This Declaration is submitted in support of Defendants' Motion to Modify Preliminary Injunction.
- 2. As detailed in my previous declaration, on March 11, 2021, I collected air and soil samples from the lawn area of Marinship Park during active boat disposal operations at the adjacent Army Corps of Engineers facility. I then submitted the air and soil samples to Micro Analytical Lab (MAL) for testing. The testing showed that all hazardous materials were either not detectable or present at ordinary background levels. A true and correct copy of the report documenting my findings is attached to Defendants' Index of Exhibits as **Exhibit 1**.
- 3. I have reviewed the April 16, 2021 letter from Robyn Ray, a lab manager with ESML Analytical Inc., attached to the Declaration of Anthony Prince. According to the letter, Ms. Ray did not review my report but provided "general advice" in response to three questions posed by Mr. Prince. The following responds to Ms. Ray's letter.

## The Phase Contrast Microscope Analysis Was Appropriate For Airborne Fibers

- 4. Ms. Ray suggests that MAL used the wrong methodology to test for asbestos and fiberglass dust in the air samples. Specifically, MAL used a phase contrast microscope (PCM), pursuant to NIOSH Method 7400. However, Ms. Ray contends MAL should have used a transmission electron microscope (TEM), pursuant to the NIOSH Method 7402.
- 5. Ms. Ray is incorrect: The PCM/NIOSH Method 7400 analysis was appropriate. PCM is the standard methodology used to determine airborne concentrations of asbestos and fiberglass dust, and both Federal and California OSHA direct that fiberglass analysis should be conducted pursuant to NIOSH Method 7400. For example, attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of OSHA's web page for "Exposure Limits for Synthetic Mineral Fibers." (Available

at: <a href="https://www.osha.gov/synthetic-mineral-fibers/exposure-limits">https://www.osha.gov/synthetic-mineral-fibers/exposure-limits</a>.) The highlighted footnotes specify that that NIOSH Method 7400 "shall be used for measuring airborne fiber concentrations."

6. Compared to a phase contrast microscope, a transmission electron microscope uses a much higher level of magnification and can differentiate between different types of fibers, such as asbestos and fiberglass. Here, the PCM analysis showed that fiber counts were below the permissible exposure level (PEL) for either asbestos or fiberglass, so there was no reason to conduct a more detailed TEM analysis to characterize the fibers. Accordingly, MAL did not initially perform a TEM analysis.

### Additional Electron Microscope Analysis Confirms No Fibers In The Air Samples

7. Nevertheless, in response to Ms. Ray's letter, I asked MAL to perform a TEM NIOSH Method 7402 analysis on the remaining portions of the air samples I collected on March 11, 2021. The results from the TEM NIOSH Method 7402 testing found no asbestos or fiberglass in either of the two air samples. Thus, as expected, the more detailed TEM analysis confirms the results of the earlier PCM analysis. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the lab report from MAL confirming no fiberglass detected.

### The Air Samples Were Taken From Appropriate Locations And Positions

8. Ms. Ray also commented on the locations and positions of the air sampling equipment. Both were appropriate. The selected air sample locations were (1) the point on the lawn closest to the boat demolition area, representing a maximum possible exposure scenario, and (2) the east side of the rest room building in the park, representing an area where people might logically congregate. Both air samples were taken at a height of 42 inches above the ground, which measures a typical breathing zone applicable to sitting and standing individuals. The direction that the air filters were pointing in the outside ambient air would not affect the results, due to wind shifts during the sample period. The suggestion that the filter should be in the breathing zone of a specific person would not apply, since there was not a specific employee or person that was being tested for exposure.

-3-

# 1

# 2

# 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

### Photographs and Description of the Boat Demolition Work

9. Plaintiffs' declarations also criticized the MDA report for not having a photo or video of the boat demolition process. In fact, the March 11, 2021 report log, at page 3 shows a photo of the excavator loading parts of a wood-hulled vessel. As further documentation, attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of an additional photograph that I took on March 11, 2021. This photograph shows the work from a different angle and shows the excavator picking up parts of the same wood-hulled vessel. On March 11, 2021, I observed workers using an excavator to separate the materials in the vessel being demolished. I did not observe or hear the use of a chain saw or cut-off saw while on the site.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 23rd day of April, 2021 in Larkspur, California.

Monte Deignan

Mont Dignar

# **EXHIBIT A**

Occupational Safety and Health Administration



CONTACT US FAQ A TO Z INDEX ENGLISH ESPAÑOL

OSHA ✓ STANDARDS ✓ TOPICS ✓ HELP AND RESOURCES ✓

Q SEARCH OSHA

Safety and Health Topics / Synthetic Mineral Fibers

Exposure Limits for Synthetic Mineral Fibers

AGENCY,	SUBSTANCE
OSHA	PEL - TWA
General Industry Inert or Nuisance Dust (1910.1000, Table Z-3)	Respirable fraction: 15 mppcf' or 5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> Total dust: 50 mppcf or 15 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Construction Industry Inert or Nuisance Particulates (1926.55, Appendix A)	50 mppcf' (or 15 mg/m³ whichever is the smaller) of total dust <1% SiO <sub>2</sub>
Shipyard Fibrous Glass (1915.1000, Table Z)	Respirable fraction: 5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> Total dust: 15 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Shipyard Mineral Wool (1915.1000, Table Z)	Respirable fraction: 5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> Total dust: 15 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Shipyard Inert or Nuisance Particulates (Mineral Dusts Table)	50 mppcf* (or 15 mg/m³ whichever is the smaller) of total dust <1% SiO <sub>2</sub>
*Millions of particles per cubic foot of air, based on impinger samples counted by light-fie	old techniques.
ACGIH	TLV - TWA
Synthetic Vitreous Fibers [1999] <sup>(1)</sup>	Continuous filament glass fibers": 1 f/cc, A4 Continuous filament glass fibers": 5 mg/m3, A4 Glass wool fibers": 1 f/cc, A3 Rock wool fibers": 1 f/cc, A3 Slag wool fibers": 1 f/cc, A3 Special purpose glass fibers": 1 f/cc, A3 Refractory ceramic fibers": 0.2 f/cc, A2
Respirable fibers > 5μm aspect ratio ≥ 3:1 as determined by the membrane filter method	
"Inhalable particulate matter. The concentration of inhalable particulate for the applicatio characteristics defined in Appendix C, paragraph A of the ACGIH TLV book.	n of this TLV is to be determined from the fraction passing a size-selector with
A2 Suspected Human Carcinogen A3 Confirmed Animal Carcinogen with Unknown Relevance to Humans	
A4 Not Classifiable as a Human Carcinogen	
NIOSH	REL - TWA
Fibrous glass dust, Mineral wool fiber (synthetic vitreous fibers)	5 mg/m³ (total) 3 f/cm³ (fibers $\leq$ 3.5 $\mu$ m in diameter & $\geq$ 10 $\mu$ m in length)
State of California's	Established PEL - TWA
Fibrous glass (as Glass)	1 f/cc <sup>(1)</sup>
Mineral wool fiber (as Particulates not otherwise regulated, or nuisance particulates)	5 mg/m³ (total dust) 10 mg/m³ (respirable fraction) <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Fibers per cubic centimeter of air at 25°C and 760mm Hg pressure. To be considered a fiber for this limit the glass particle must be longer than 5µm, have a length to diameter ratio of three or more, and have a diameter less than 3µm. NIOSH Method 7400 (Issue 2, August 15, 1994) shall be used for measuring airborne fiber concentrations

<sup>(2)</sup> The concentration and percentage of the particulate used for this limit are determined from the fraction passing a size selector with the following characteristics:

Aerodynamic Diameter in Micrometers (unit density sphere)	Percent Passing Selector
0	100
1	97
2	91
3	74
4	50
5	30
6	17
7	9
8	5
10	1



Occupational Safety & Health Administration 200 Constitution Ave NW Washington, DC 20210 800-321-6742 (OSHA) www.OSHA.gov

### FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

White House Assistance Disaster Recovery Assistance
DisasterAssistance.gov USA.gov No Fear Act Data U.S. Office of Special Counsel

### OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY & HEALTH

Frequently Asked Questions Freedom of Information Act - OSHA Read The OSHA Newsletter Subscribe to the OSHA Newsletter OSHA Publications Office of Inspector General

### ABOUT THIS SITE

Privacy & Security Statement Disclaimers Important Web Site Notices Accessibility Statement

# **EXHIBIT B**

Page 1 of 2

# MICRO ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES, INC.

TEM ASBESTOS ANALYSIS - NIOSH 7402



1084 Monte Deignan & Associates P.O. Box 546 Larkspur, CA 94977

PROJECT: MARIN SHIP PARK MONITORING

Micro Log In

Total Samples 2

Date Sampled 03/11/2021 Date Received 03/12/2021

Date Analyzed 04/19/2021

SAMPLE INFORMATION CLIENT ID	FIBERS COUNTED  ASBESTOS NON-AS  O CHRYSOTILE O	SBESTOS Fibrous Glass	(FIBERS DIA	ONCENTRATIONS >0.25 μm IN AMETER, IN LENGTH)
RBD-07  MICRO ID 280547-01  Time 336  LPM 8.000  Liters 2688.0  DESCRIPTION  FENCE LINE AT US ACE (REANALYSIS OF PCM 279409-01)	O AMOSITE O O CROCIDOLITE O O TREMOLITE O O ACTINOLITE O ANTHOPHYLLITE O TOTAL ASBESTOS O TOTAL FIBERS	Gypsum Cellulose Other	FIBER	BESTOS S PER CC  .00040  TION OF TOTAL FIBER F APPLICABLE)  ibers per cc  0.000
	COMM	ENTS		
	NO ASBESTO	S DETECTED		v v
Operating Parameters  Microscope: JEOL 1200EX TEM  EDX: EDAX Element C2 SDD  Minimum Accelerating Voltage: 80 KV	Filter Data  Type MCE  Diameter 25 mm	Grid Squares Analyzed Grid Square Area Area Analyzed	0.0091 mm² 0.364 mm²	Additional Data  SAED Photo#  Quantitation Limit  0.0015 Fibers per cc
Magnifications Used: 100x to 25,000x	Effective Collection Area 385 mm <sup>2</sup>	Analytical Sensitivity	0.0004 Fibers / cc	*Fibers / mm² < 2.7

Technical Supervisor:

Frank Raviola, M.S.

4/19/2021

Analyst:

Date Reported AIHA-LAP, LLC IHLAP Accreditation: Laboratory ID No. 101768. Samples are analyzed by Transmission Electron Microscopy in accordance with SOP T134, based on NIOSH 7402 Method AIHA-LAP, LLC IHLAP Accreditation: Laboratory ID No. 101768. Samples are analyzed by Transmission Electron Microscopy in accordance with SOP T134, based on NIOSH 7402 Method (8/15/1994). Asbestos and other fibers >5 um in length, and >0.25 um in diameter, with a length to width ratio of 3:1 or greater, are counted. Asbestos fibers per cc: concentration of airborne asbestos detected in this TEM analysis. If no air volume is given, fibers per cc are not applicable. Asbestos Fraction of total fiber count: 100°(asbestos fibers counted in this TEM analysis). Analytical sensitivity: the airborne concentration represented by each asbestos fiber. \*Fibers / mm² are applicable to BLANKS ONLY; they have no correlation to any other TEM method. Non-asbestos counts are approximate; specific characterization of non-asbestos particles is not applicable to this analysis. This analysis, where applicable, is done on a different filter wedge than the original PCM analysis. Variability due to different airborne fiber distributions on different portions of the same filter may be significant. Many fibers with diameters <0.25 um may be countable by PCM, but are excluded from the TEM count. Therefore, the TEM total fiber count may be much higher or lower than the previously reported PCM count. It is up to the end user of this report to decide whether the Asbestos Fraction should be multiplied by the previous PCM result, to get an estimate of asbestos F/cc in the PCM result (assuming that fibers are evenly distributed on the filter). Unless otherwise indicated on this report, all samples were received in accentrable condition for analysis. Note: due to software limitations the Duplicate QC samples have lower analytical sensitivities. Unless otherwise stated in this report, all samples were received in acceptable condition for analysis. Note: due to software limitations, the number of reported significant figures does not necessarily reflect the uncertainty of the analysis. This report must not be reproduced except in full without the approval of Micro Analytical Laboratories, Inc., and pertains only to the samples analyzed as received. Air volumes are reported as given by the customer. SAED = Selected Area Electron Diffraction. If asbestos SAED is photographed, the photo number and fiber ID are reported. EDX: Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy. N/A = not applicable.

2 of 2

# MICRO ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES, INC.

TEM ASBESTOS ANALYSIS - NIOSH 7402



1084 Monte Deignan & Associates P.O. Box 546 Larkspur, CA 94977

PROJECT: MARIN SHIP PARK MONITORING

Micro Log In

Total Samples 2

Date Sampled 03/11/2021 Date Received 03/12/2021

Date Analyzed 04/19/2021

SAMPLE INFORMATION CLIENT ID	ASBESTOS NON-AS  O CHRYSOTILE  O	SBESTOS (FIBERS	CONCENTRATIONS >0.25 µm IN AMETER, IN LENGTH)
RBD-08  MICRO ID 280547-02  Time 319  LPM 8.000  Liters 2552.0  DESCRIPTION  REST ROOM AT TENNIS COURT  (REANALYSIS OF PCM 279409-02)	0 AMOSITE 0 0 CROCIDOLITE 0 0 TREMOLITE 0 0 ACTINOLITE 0 ANTHOPHYLLITE 0 TOTAL ASBESTOS 0 TOTAL FIBERS	Cellulose Other  ASBESTOS FRACCOUNT (  Total f	EBESTOS RS PER CC  .00040  CTION OF TOTAL FIBER IF APPLICABLE)  Fibers per cc  0.000
	COMM	EN13	
	NO ASBESTOS	S DETECTED	
Operating Parameters  Microscope: JEOL 1200EX TEM  EDX: EDAX Element C2 SDD  Minimum Accelerating Voltage: 80 KV  Magnifications Used: 100x to 25,000x	Filter Data  Type MCE  Diameter 25 mm  Effective Collection Area 385 mm²	Analytical Data Grid Squares Analyzed 40 Grid Square Area 0.0091 mm² Area Analyzed 0.364 mm² Analytical Sensitivity 0.0004 Fibers / cc	Additional Data  SAED Photo#  Quantitation Limit 0.0015 Fibers per cc  *Fibers / mm² < 2.7

Lec	hni	cal	Sup	erv	ISOr

4/19/2021

Analyst:

Date Reported

Frank Raviola, M.S. AIHA-LAP, LLC IHLAP Accreditation: Laboratory ID No. 101768. Samples are analyzed by Transmission Electron Microscopy in accordance with SOP T134, based on NIOSH 7402 Method AIHA-LAP, LLC IHLAP Accreditation: Laboratory ID No. 101768. Samples are analyzed by Transmission Electron Microscopy in accordance with SOP T134, based on NIOSH 7402 Method (8/15/1994). Asbestos and other fibers >5 um in length, and >0.25 um in diameter, with a length to width ratio of 3:1 or greater, are counted. Asbestos fibers per cc: concentration of airborne asbestos detected in this TEM analysis. If no air volume is given, fibers per cc are not applicable. Asbestos Fraction of total fiber count: 100\*(asbestos fibers counted in this TEM analysis). Analytical sensitivity: the airborne concentration represented by each asbestos fiber. \*Fibers / mm² are applicable to BLANKS ONLY; they have no correlation to any other TEM method. Non-asbestos counts are approximate; specific characterization of non-asbestos particles is not applicable to this analysis, where applicable, is done on a different filter wedge than the original PCM analysis. Variability due to different situations on different portions of the same filter may be significant. Many fibers with diameters <0.25 um may be countable by PCM, but are excluded from the TEM count. Therefore, the TEM total fiber count may be much higher or lower than the previously reported PCM count. It is up to the end user of this report to decide whether the Asbestos Fraction should be multiplied by the previous PCM result, to get an estimate of asbestos F/cc in the PCM result (assuming that fibers are warmed distributions on the fiber). Using a count of the proper of the prope evenly distributed on the filter). Unless otherwise indicated on this report, all required Quality Control samples have been determined to be in control prior to releasing these analytical results. Duplicate QC samples have lower analytical sensitivities. Unless otherwise stated in this report, all samples were received in acceptable condition for analysis. Note: due to software limitations, the number of reported significant figures does not necessarily reflect the uncertainty of the analysis. This report must not be reproduced except in full without the approval of Micro Analytical Laboratories, Inc., and pertains only to the samples analyzed as received. Air volumes are reported as given by the customer. SAED = Selected Area Electron Diffraction. If asbestos SAED is photographed, the photo number and fiber ID are reported. EDX: Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy. N/A = not applicable.

# Air Sample Log & Laboratory Request Form

280547

an	co
na	0
3	iat
Œ,	5
ā	ō
(D)	58
nte	D
0	व्य
M	70

P.O. Box 546 Larkspur, CA

94977 Office(415) 927-9038

-
1
777
~~
- 2
40
-0
-
···
775
U)

Client:

Address: 4 Embarcadero City, State: San Francisco, CA Project: Marin Ship Park Monitoring

Analysis Requested : PCM AHERA FAA NV Mold
--

Collected By :MD Report To: Montedeignan@mac.com

Sample	Sample Location	Flow	Start	Stop	Time	Liters	Date	Notes	Lab #
RBD-07	FENCE LINE & US ACE	90	21:0	2:48	33%	2688	12.11-8 3392 328 34:2 21:01		E
RBD-08	PEST FOOM PTENNIS COURT	400	3:40	2:39	18 SE	12-11-8 2582 618 65:2 04:6	12-11-8		12
					4				

1251

12-21-8

Received By:

Transfered To:

Released By v

# **EXHIBIT C**

